

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,362	10/14/2003	Gary Hillman	THERM 3.0-007 DIV	4398	
530	7590 09/27/2005		EXAMINER		
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			ARANCIBIA, MAUREEN GRAMAGLIA		
	Z & MENTLIK AVENUE WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIELI	O, NJ 07090		1763		
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/200	DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/685,362	HILLMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maureen G. Arancibia	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprince of the communication will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	6 September 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the ments is	5
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-11 is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) Objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	,	, ,	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		plication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/03</u> .	6) Other:		

M

Application/Control Number: 10/685,362

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Claims 1-7 in the reply filed on 6 September 2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 8-11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 6 September
 2005.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it is suggested to add "now U.S. Patent No. 6,666,949," on Line 3 of Paragraph 3.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "said fluid" in Line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested to replace the word "fluid "with "liquid" on both Lines 1 and 2 of the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,362

Art Unit: 1763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 2, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent Application Publication 63-065066 to Aoyanagi (from Applicant's IDS). The following rejection refers to the Figures and English Abstract of this document.

Aoyanagi teaches a method of holding a workpiece 8 at a substantially uniform temperature (Abstract, Purpose, Lines 1-2), comprising: maintaining the workpiece on a holding surface 4 in heat transfer relation with the interior of a chamber (Figure 1; Abstract, Constitution, Lines 6-7); vaporizing a liquid disposed within the chamber to form vapor by supplying heat to the interior of the chamber via the heated substrate holder 1 (Abstract, Constitution, Lines 8-9); and condensing the vapor in a condenser 7 in communication with the chamber (Figure 1), said vaporizing and condensing steps being performed so that at least some liquid and some vapor are present in said chamber and so that the vapor continually flows within said chamber and flows to said condenser (the liquid is thereby circulated; Abstract, Constitution, Lines 8-15).

In regards to Claim 2, Aoyanagi teaches that liquid is returned from said condenser to said chamber. (Abstract, Constitution, Line 11)

Application/Control Number: 10/685,362

Art Unit: 1763

In regards to Claim 4, Aoyanagi teaches that the condenser 7 projects upwardly from the chamber (Figure 1), and that the step of returning liquid from the condenser to the chamber includes allowing the liquid to drain from the condenser into the chamber by gravity (Figure 1; Abstract, Constitution, Lines 8-11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyanagi in view of 4,582,121 to Casey (from Applicant's IDS).

The teachings of Aoyanagi were discussed above.

In regards to Claim 3, Aoyanagi does not expressly teach that the liquid is an aqueous liquid.

Casey teaches that a heat transfer liquid can be water. (Column 2, Lines 59-61)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use water as the heat transfer liquid in the method of Aoyanagi. The motivation for making such a modification, as taught by Casey (Column 6, Lines 12-14), would have been to use a liquid with a high heat transfer coefficient.

10. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyanagi in view of U.S. Patent 6,229,116 to Shirakawa et al. (from Applicant's IDS).

Art Unit: 1763

The teachings of Aoyanagi et al. were discussed above. Aoyanagi further teaches that a process (vapor deposition) is performed on the workpiece while it is maintained at a uniform temperature. (Abstract, Purpose)

In regards to Claims 5-7, Aoyanagi does not expressly teach that the workpiece is a semiconductor wafer, that the processes performed on the workpiece while it is maintained at a uniform temperature include baking a photoresist, or that prior to baking the photoresist, the photoresist is exposed to patternwise illumination.

Shirakawa et al. teaches a method of maintaining a semiconductor wafer at a uniform temperature while a photoresist on the wafer is baked (Column 5, Line 63 - Column 6, Line 14; Column 7, Lines 28-41), and that prior to the baking step, the photoresist is exposed to patternwise illumination (*in a photolithography process*; Column 1, Lines9-16; Column 4, Line 25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method taught by Aoyanagi to maintain a semiconductor wafer at a uniform temperature while a photoresist is baked, and prior to the baking, to expose the photoresist to patternwise illumination. The motivation for making such a modification, as taught by Shirakawa et al. (Column 1, Lines 4-28 and Column 3, Lines 6-11), would have been to obtain a photoresist film in a desired quality and thickness for manufacturing a semiconductor device circuit.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maureen G. Arancibia whose telephone number is (571)

272-1219. The examiner can normally be reached on core hours of 10-5, Monday-

Friday.

273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maureen G. Arancibia Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1763

Parviz Hassanzadeh Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1763

Page 6